

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/612,902 | 07/07/2003 | Antonio Fontana | 26828/GM/ps | 4323 |
| 759 | 90 01/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MODIANO & ASSOCIATI | | | NICOLAS, FREDERICK C | |
| Via Meravigli, 1 Milano, 2012 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ITALY | | | 3754 | |
| | | | D. T. D. A. H. D. A. H. 1900 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A - II - Ai - Ai - Ai | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Nation of Abandanmant | 10/612,902 | FONTANA, ANTONIO | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Frederick C. Nicolas | 3754 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 G | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | _(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | | Frederick C/Nicolas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754 | | | |